



Combined use of antifibrinolytics and activated prothrombin complex concentrate (aPCC) is not related to thromboembolic events in patients with acquired haemophilia A: data from FAIR Registry

S. Pasca¹ · C. Ambaglio² · A. Rocino³ · C. Santoro⁴ · I. Cantori⁵ · E. Zanon¹ · for the FAIR Study Group

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Antifibrinolytics combined with aPCC are not routinely administered to patients with acquired hemophilia A due to increased thrombotic risk. This association normalizes clot stability, and improves the efficacy of therapy, but can increase the risk of severe side effects. Due to these premises it has always raised doubts and perplexities in the clinics. We now report the data of the “FEIBA® on acquired haemophilia A Italian Registry (FAIR Registry)”, a retrospective-prospective study that included 56 patients. This is the first study that assessed the clinical response of the combination of aPCC and antifibrinolytic agents in patients with acquired haemophilia A. A total of 101 acute bleeds were treated with aPCC. Antifibrinolytic agents were used in the treatment of 39.6% of total bleeds, based on both, a clinical assessment and an evaluation of bleeding. Twenty-five of the 30 patients (57.1%) treated with antifibrinolytic drugs showed serious co-morbidity. Among them, 40% presented severe cardiovascular diseases. All bleeds treated with combined therapy had a shorter duration of treatment (mean reduction 16.3%). All the treated patients presented a good tolerability and no arterial or venous thromboembolic events were reported. In our retrospective registry the combination of antifibrinolytics and aPCC appears safe and effective in the treatment of patients with AHA, especially in the case of severe and life-threatening bleeding, but this hypothesis needs to be confirmed in adequate, larger clinical trials.

Keywords Acquired haemophilia A · Activated prothrombin complex concentrate · Antifibrinolytics · Thromboembolic risk

Highlights

- In our study the association of aPCC and antifibrinolytics was proved to be effective and safe in patients with acquired haemophilia A
- This combined therapy can be used also in patients with severe cardiovascular diseases
- This combined therapy can reduce the duration of treatment
- This combined therapy presented a good tolerability in all treated patients
- Antifibrinolytics could be used routinely in association with aPCC, especially in the case of severe and life-threatening bleeding, but this hypothesis needs to be confirmed in adequate, larger clinical trials

The members of the FAIR Study Group are listed in the acknowledgements.

✉ S. Pasca
sampasca27@gmail.com

¹ Haemophilia Centre, University Hospital of Padua, Via Giustiniani, 35128 Padua, Italy

² Haemophilia Centre, S.Matteo Hospital of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

³ Haemophilia and Thrombosis Centre, S.Giovanni Bosco Hospital of Naples, Naples, Italy

⁴ Cellular Biotechnology and Haematology Department, Umberto I University Hospital of Rome, Rome, Italy

⁵ Centre of Coagulation Diseases, Hospital of Macerata, Macerata, Italy

Introduction

Acquired hemophilia A (AHA) is a rare bleeding disorder caused by a spontaneous development of auto-antibodies against the coagulation Factor VIII (FVIII), in males and females with a previously normal hemostasis [1]. The incidence of AHA is estimated about 1–1.5 per million of people per year [2]. Morbidity and mortality associated with AHA are high, especially in elderly patients with severe co-morbidities. International guidelines recommend to treat bleeding caused by AHA as soon as possible in first line therapy with bypassing agents, as activated prothrombin complex concentrate (aPCC) or activated recombinant FVII (rFVIIa), or with recombinant porcine FVIII (rpFVIII), recently marketed in our Country. Normal haemostasis must be immediately achieved, and the inhibitor eradication should be quickly performed with corticosteroids alone or with corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide. If these recommended treatments fail or are contraindicated, patients should be treated with rituximab [3]. The risk for thromboembolic events among patients treated with bypassing agents has increased. Tranexamic acid in association with bypassing agents normalizes clot stability, and improves the efficacy of therapy, but further increases the risk of severe side effects. The current guidelines suggest the use of topic solutions of antifibrinolytics only to treat oral or skin bleeding [3]. Due to these premises the combined use of antifibrinolytics and bypassing agents in the patients with AHA has always raised doubts and perplexities in the clinics. The EACH2 registry [4] reported the use of antifibrinolytic drugs in 18% of cases, but no other data were reported about the type of treated bleeding, the characteristics of patients, and the associated bypassing agent, rFVIIa or aPCC.

At this moment only one study reported the outcomes of the combined use of these drugs in a patient with acquired disorder [5]. In this report, six total patients were evaluated, but five of them had congenital haemophilia A. Also the other published studies presented only cases of subjects affected by inherited haemophilia. In their prospective crossover study, Tran et al. [6] evaluated the combined use of tranexamic acid and bypassing agents in a group of six patients with haemophilia A and inhibitors compared with a group of five healthy subjects. Clinical and laboratory results were positive and no adverse events were reported. Data similar were observed by Windyga et al. [7] in patients with haemophilia A and inhibitors presenting mucosal or dental bleeding and treated with a combined therapy of aPCC and antifibrinolytics.

The “FEIBA® on acquired haemophilia A Italian Registry (FAIR Registry)” is the first study that assessed the clinical response of the combination of aPCC and antifibrinolytic agents in patients with acquired haemophilia A.

Methods

The FAIR study is a retrospective-prospective registry that included patients with acquired haemophilia A treated with aPCC (FEIBA®) at 12 Italian Haemophilia Centres. Data collection started in December 2012.

Retrospective group

All patients \geq 18-years-old, treated with aPCC for AHA in the previous 10 years (from January 2003 to December 2012).

Prospective group

All consecutive patients \geq 18-year-olds who received a diagnosis and were treated with aPCC, from January 2013 to December 2015.

The study protocol was approved by each institution’s Ethical Committee and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and with local laws and regulations. All patients provided written informed consent. In the case of retrospective patients who died before data collection data started, the informed consent was signed by a family member.

All patients were assessed for (1) demographic and baseline characteristics such as sex, age at diagnosis, body weight, diagnosis and clinical conditions; (2) descriptive characteristics of bleeding episodes (site, cause and severity); (3) treatment of AHA (time, dosage, outcome of therapy); (4) laboratory parameters (Factor VIII concentration and inhibitor titre); (5) bleeding resolution and bleeding relapses; (6) adverse events (AE), serious adverse events (SAE), drug-related AE and AE requiring study discontinuation.; (7) cardiovascular co-morbidities (atrial fibrillation, myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, stroke, hypertension, other).

According to the ISTH guidelines, major bleeding episodes were defined as symptomatic bleeding into an organ or a critical area, that is intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, retroperitoneal, intra-articular or pericardial, or intramuscular with compartment syndrome and/or bleeding causing a fall in haemoglobin levels of 20 g/L or more, or leading to transfusion of two or more units of whole blood or red cells. The resolution of acute bleeding was assessed clinically, considering bleeding tendency, the size of haematoma, the stability of Hb/Hct and resolution of pain caused by the haematoma [8].

All the events occurring in the 4 weeks following resolution of the qualifying bleeding episode were recorded.

A “bleeding relapse” was defined as any bleeding event occurred into the previous site or a different site within a month after the resolution of the first episode.

Antifibrinolytics were administered exclusively based on a clinical evaluation. No specific protocol was established to determine how these drugs had to be administered.

Results

Fifty-six patients were enrolled, equally divided between males and females, 31 in the retrospective group, and 25 in the prospective one. One-hundred and one acute bleeds were reported, all treated with aPCC, 65.3% of which in the retrospective group. Spontaneous bleeding episodes were 84.1%, major bleeds were 39 (38.6%), and 71.3% involved muscles or skin.

Antifibrinolytic agents were used in the treatment of 39.6% of total bleeds, based on both, a clinical assessment and an evaluation of bleeding. Retrospective patients (48.4%) had two or more bleeding events, higher than reported in the other group ($p < 0.05$). Twenty-five of the 30 patients (57.1%) treated with antifibrinolytic drugs showed serious co-morbidity. Among them, 40% presented severe cardiovascular diseases (myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, ischemic cardiomyopathy). The sites and severity of bleeding were not significantly different between the total population of the FAIR registry and the group treated with combined aPCC and antifibrinolytic therapy. Complete data are presented in Table 1.

All bleeds treated with combined therapy (40/101) had a shorter duration of treatment (mean reduction 16.3%) up to a median of 7 days (IQR 1–48). Combined therapy was well tolerated, and no thromboembolic events were reported during treatment or during follow-up.

Antifibrinolytics were administered exclusively based on a clinical evaluation. During the study no specific protocol was established to determine how these drugs had to be administered. Tranexamic acid was used in all the patients treated with a combined therapy.

Discussion

Activated Prothrombin Complex Concentrate (FEIBA®) is a multicomponent therapeutic agent that contains FII, FVII, FIX, and FX coagulation proteins, which have activities targeting different sites of the coagulation cascade. The exact mechanism of action of aPCC is unknown, although it may be related to one or more of the active clotting factors and their ability to bypass the FVIII inhibitor. In some *in vitro* studies, a FXa-like substance or a complex of FVIII:Ag, FIXa, and phospholipid have been hypothesized as the active

principles, which is only minimally inhibited by the auto-antibodies. These multiple modes of action make aPCC able to maintain the procoagulant process, necessary for haemostasis in the congenital or acquired haemophilic patients with inhibitors [9]. Due to this mechanism of action that activates the coagulation cascade, the sudden appearance of thromboembolic events are the greatest risk for patients treated with aPCC. In fact, the EACH2 Registry [4] reported 4.8% of thromboembolic episodes developed among 63 patients treated with FEIBA®. Data however not confirmed in the French Registry [10], in which no thromboembolic events were observed.

Tranexamic acid is an antifibrinolytic drug that exerts its action inhibiting activation of plasminogen, and reducing the plasminogen conversion to plasmin, an enzyme that degrades fibrin clots, fibrinogen, and other plasma proteins, including the procoagulant FV and FVIII. Tranexamic acid also inhibits the plasmin activity, but higher doses are required to reduce plasmin formation. Headache, abdominal pain, backache, and diarrhea are the common side effects of this drug, while pulmonary embolism or deep vein thrombosis are not frequent. Despite this, the association with another drug that acts on the coagulation cascade can greatly increase the thromboembolic risk. This leads clinicians to use caution in associating aPCC and antifibrinolytics, especially in the case of patients with acquired haemophilia. In fact, these subjects are often elderly with severe co-morbidities that make them more susceptible to cardiovascular or cerebrovascular events, as it happens in the general population. Acquired haemophilia is only a transient state that does not put the patient away from venous or arterial thromboembolic diseases. Conversely, until recently it was thought that patients with congenital haemophilia had a lower risk of cardiovascular events due to their permanent hypocoagulable state, but now different reports have showed that also the subjects presenting coagulation defects can be affected by these diseases [11–14].

The FAIR registry is the first study that assessed the clinical response to the combination of aPCC and antifibrinolytic agents in patients with AHA. In our FAIR registry, 39.6% of total bleeding episodes were treated with tranexamic acid, more frequently in the prospective patients ($p < 0.05$). Antifibrinolytics agents were also used in patients with severe cardiovascular diseases, about 6% had had a previous ischemic stroke, and 3% myocardial infarction. All the treated patients presented a good tolerability and no arterial or venous thromboembolic events were reported. In a review published by Valentino et al. [15] the combined therapy has been considered usable in case of dental procedures or surgeries, or in case of patients who have failed a monotherapy, but almost all the evaluated patients consisted in subjects affected by congenital haemophilia A, while no data about patients with AHA are clearly available. In the

Table 1 Characteristics of patients treated with a combined therapy aPCC/antifibrinolytics, aPCC treatment scheme, and duration of treatment

ID patient	R/P	Age	Sex	Cause AHA	Bleeding site	Co-morbidity	Dose aPCC (IU/kg)	Frequency (h)	Treatment duration (days)
01	R	74	M	Infection	Cutaneous	Ischemic cardiopathy	81,60	12	2
02	R	69	F	Idiopathic	Retroperitoneal	Hypertension	71,20	8	9
03	R	65	M	RA	Urogenital	Hypertension, diabetes	66,70	8	9
04	R	63	F	MGUS	Respiratory		93,40	12	5
05	R	60	F	Idiopathic	Cutaneous		76,90	12	8
06	R	68	M	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension	85,70	24	9
07	R	29	F	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension	100,00	12	19
08	R	71	M	RA	Skeletal muscle	MI, ischemic stroke, AA	80,00	12	5
09	R	46	F	Idiopathic	Skeletal muscle	Hypertension	80,00	12	9
10	R	88	F	Infection	Cutaneous	Hypertension	80,00	12	2
11	R	72	M	Idiopathic	1.Urogenital 2.Cutaneous		51,10 51,10	12 12	2 1
12	R	28	F	Idiopathic	Urogenital		50,00	8	5
13	R	74	F	RA	Cutaneous		57,10	24	4
14	R	55	M	RA	1.Skeletal muscle 2. Skeletal muscle	AMV	50,00 50,00	12 12	2 3
15	R	72	M	Idiopathic	Gastrointestinal	PTA (carotid stenosis), AMV	80,00	12	6
16	R	66	F	RA	1. Cutaneous 2. Skeletal muscle		64,90 64,90	8 8	9 10
17	R	77	F	Idiopathic	Cutaneous		66,70	8	8
18	R	76	M	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension, diabetes	65,80	48	48
19	P	83	F	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension Cerebral vasculopathy	100,00	8	7
20	P	78	F	Idiopathic	Retroperitoneal		87,00	12	25
21	P	56	F	RA, Sjogren	Retroperitoneal		80,00	12	20
22	P	70	M	RA	Retroperitoneal	AF, ischemic cardiopathy, DM2	87,00	12	8
23	P	90	F	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension, CRF,PE Ischemic cardiopathy, DM2	83,40	12	4
24	P	86	F	Cancer	Cutaneous	Hypertension	75,00	12	8
25	P	73	F	Idiopathic	Retroperitoneal	Hypertension	90,90	12	12
26	P	57	M	Idiopathic	1.Cutaneous 2. Cutaneous		66,70 66,70	12 48	26 14
27	P	45	F	Cancer	1.Skeletal Muscle 2. Skeletal Muscle		80,60 80,60	12 12	3 4
28	P	54	M	Cancer	Cutaneous	Hypertension	76,90	24	6
29	P	93	M	Cancer	Cutaneous		57,10	8	4
30	P	52	F	Cancer	Urogenital	Hypertension	76,90	12	11
31	P	76	F	Cancer	Cutaneous	ACS (NSTEMI)	63,80	12	9
32	P	80	M	Idiopathic	Cutaneous		100,00	24	4
33	P	75	F	Idiopathic	Skeletal muscle	DM2, cartotid stenosis, hypertension	80,00	12	13
34	P	76	M	Idiopathic	Skeletal muscle	Ischemic Cardiopathy, DM2	53,40	12	31
35	P	77	M	Idiopathic	Cutaneous	Hypertension, ischemic stroke	62,50	12	1

R/P Retrospective/prospective, AHA acquired haemophilia A, RA rheumatoid arthritis, MGUS monoclonal gammopathy unknown significance, MI myocardial infarction, AA aortic aneurysm, AMV aortic mechanic valve, PTA percutaneous angioplasty, AF atrial fibrillation, DM2 diabetes mellitus type 2, CRF chronic renal failure, PE pulmonary embolism, ACS acute coronary syndrome, aPCC activated prothrombin complex concentrate

FAIR Registry the combined therapy reduced the treatment duration by 16.3% (mean reduction), up to a median of 7 days. In conclusion, in our experience, the association of aPCC and tranexamic acid appeared to be safe and effective in a group of patients with AHA, presenting in some case severe bleeding and relevant diseases. A relation between the aPCC and antifibrinolytics in a combined therapy and a reduction in the times for the bleeding resolution could also be suggested.

Even though we believe antifibrinolytics could be used routinely in the treatment of patients with AHA in association with aPCC, especially in the case of severe and life-threatening bleeding, this hypothesis needs to be confirmed in adequate, larger clinical trials.

Acknowledgements All of the authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors criteria for authorship for this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval of the version to be published. The FAIR Study Group: Siragusa S and Napolitano M (Hematology Department, Center of Hemorrhagic and Thrombotic Diseases, University of Palermo); Federici AB (Hematology and Transfusion Medicine Department, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Milan); Mameli L (Center of Coagulation Diseases, SS Annunziata Hospital, Sassari); Giuffrida G (Hematology Department, VE Ferrarotto and S.Bambino University Hospital, Catania); Falanga A (Transfusion Medicine and Immunohematology Department, Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo); Lodigiani C (Medicine Department, Humanitas Clinical Institute, Rozzano); Santoro RC (Center of Hemorrhagic and Thrombotic Diseases, Pugliese-Ciaccio Hospital, Catanzaro).

Funding This work did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. This medical and statistical writing assistance for the FAIR registry was supported by Baxalta-Shire.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest All authors have read and understood JTT policy on declaration of interests and declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent All patients enrolled in our retrospective-prospective registry accepted to participate in the study signing the informed consent as requested by Ethical Committees. In the case of retrospective patients who had died before the start of collection data the informed consent was signed by a family member.

References

1. Kessler CM, Knobl P (2015) Acquired haemophilia: an overview for clinical practice. *Eur J Haematol* 95(Suppl 81):36–44
2. Franchini M, Mannucci PM (2013) Acquired haemophilia A: a 2013 update. *Thromb Haemost* 110:1114–1120
3. Kruse-Jarres R, Kempton CL, Baudo F et al (2017) Acquired hemophilia A: updated review of evidence and treatment guidance. *Am J Hematol* 92(7):695–705
4. Knoebl P, Marco P, Baudo F, EACH2 Registry Contributors, et al (2012) Demographic and clinical data in acquired hemophilia A: results from the European Acquired Haemophilia Registry (EACH2). *J Thromb Haemost* 10:622–631
5. Holmström M, Tran HT, Holme PA (2012) Combined treatment with aPCC (FEIBA®) and tranexamic acid in patients with haemophilia A with inhibitors and in patient with acquired haemophilia A—a two-centre experience. *Haemophilia* 18(4):544–549
6. Tran HT, Sørensen B, Rea CJ et al (2014) Tranexamic acid as adjunct therapy to bypassing agents in haemophilia A patients with inhibitors. *Haemophilia* 20(3):369–375
7. Windyga J, Stefanska-Windyga E, Odnoczek E et al (2016) Activated prothrombin complex concentrate in combination with tranexamic acid: a single centre experience for the treatment of mucosal bleeding and dental extraction in haemophilia patients with inhibitors. *Haemophilia* 22(5):e465–e468
8. Schulman S, Kearon C (2005) Subcommittee on control of anticoagulation of the scientific and standardization committee of the international society on thrombosis and haemostasis. Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic medicinal products in non-surgical patients. *J Thromb Haemost* 3:692–694
9. Turecek PL, Váradi K, Gritsch T et al (2004) FEIBA®: mode of action. *Haemophilia* 10(Suppl. 2):3–9
10. Borg JY, Négrier C, Durieu I, FEIBHAC Study Group, et al (2015) FEIBA in the treatment of acquired haemophilia A: results from the prospective multicentre French ‘FEIBA dans l’hémophilie A acquise’ (FEIBHAC) registry. *Haemophilia* 21(3):330–337
11. Zanon E, Milan M, Sarolo L et al (2018) Cerebrovascular diseases in haemophiliacs: a real but underestimated risk. *Haemophilia* 24(1):e3–e5
12. Humphries TJ, Rule B, Ogbonnaya A et al (2018) Cardiovascular comorbidities in a United States patient population with hemophilia A: a comprehensive chart review. *Adv Med Sci* 18(2):329–333 63)
13. Berger K, Schopohl D, Lowe G et al (2016) How to compare cardiovascular disease and risk factors in elderly patients with haemophilia with the general population. *Haemophilia* 22(5):e406–e416
14. Minuk L, Jackson S, Iorio A et al (2015) Cardiovascular disease (CVD) in Canadians with haemophilia: age-related CVD in Haemophilia Epidemiological Research (ARCHER study). *Haemophilia* 21(6):736–741
15. Valentino LA, Holme PA (2015) Should anti-inhibitor coagulant complex and tranexamic acid be used concomitantly? *Haemophilia* 21:709–714